The Journal of Chinese Linguistics vol.51, no.3 (October 2023): 522–550 $\circoloremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2023 by the Journal of Chinese Linguistics. ISSN 0091-3723/ The "graphic design" for $\circoloremath{\hat{\kappa}}$ 'troops' and $\circoloremath{\bar{\mu}}$ 'chariot' in oracle-bone and bronze inscriptions. By Chrystelle Maréchal and Ken-ichi Takashima. All rights reserved.

THE "GRAPHIC DESIGN" FOR 旅 'TROOPS' AND 車 'CHARIOT' IN ORACLE-BONE AND BRONZE INSCRIPTIONS

Chrystelle Maréchal¹ Ken-ichi Takashima²

Copyri

¹Centre de Recherches Linguistiques sur l'Asie Orientale, Paris ²University of British Columbia, Vancouver

ABSTRACT

This paper argues that there were in the minds of the creators of Chinese characters what we refer to as "graphic designs" (abbreviated as "GD"for the other acronyms used hereinafter, see "Symbols and Abbreviations of the Frequently Used Terms and the Authors' Names" at the end of the paper). The GD is generally inseparable from the underlying lexeme, and this paper is a case study of the two, and other related, words, in connection specifically with their graphic representations. The GD for the OBI graphs 🚠, 😫, and 🙀, which appear to be pictographs, is as simple as the UM of the word chē 車 'chariot', namely, "chariot/wheel". Its presumed sound-*k-hla~*ka-hla-and the meaning may not have participated in any WF in Shāng Chinese because it was a loan word from an IE language and was not yet integrated in the contemporary lexicological system. A basis for this is that another reading $j\bar{u}$, assumed as $ka \sim ka ja$, had not yet developed. The simplicity of the GD and UM of 車, however, is in contrast with the complexity of its origins and its uses: (1) what is the phonetic in lǚ 藿, 庫 and in BI graphs like 帮, 际, 攀? If all these write the word 旅, a question arises: which (pre-)OC form, chē/*k-hla~*ka-hla or

Chrystelle Maréchal (梦里筱; corresponding author) [marechal@ehess.fr]; Centre national de la recherche scientifique, École des hautes études en sciences sociales, Institut national des langues et civilisations orientales, Paris, France.

 $j\bar{u}$ /*ka~*kəlja, was original? We have suggested that the latter arose after the original, $ch\bar{e}$ /*k-hla~*ka-hla, was sufficiently circulated and came into being as a result of the mono-syllabification of *ka-hla 車; (2) since many IE languages have the initial k- for 車 'wheel/chariot', reconstructing the pre-initial *k- for this Chinese loan word makes sense; (3) we have narrowed down the introduction of the chariot and its word into China to ca. 1200 BC, during the reign of King Wù Dīng 武丁 (ca. 1230–1171 BC); (4) we have also tried to answer the question: can we account for the frequent use in the late Shāng-early Zhōu BI of the 車 element, and its disappearance after early Western Zhōu? This question has taken us to examine the history of warfare, the ancient industry of chariot and wheel making, and the utilitarian and symbolic value of the chariot in ancient China.

KEYWORDS

Graphic design (GD) Oracle-bone inscriptions (OBI) Bronze inscriptions (BI) Troops Chariot

1. INTRODUCTION¹

The term "GD" refers to what we assume to have underlain in the mind of the creators of original paleographs. As may be expected, there is no record of how these nameless creators went about making any paleograph, but we have their products aplenty. To give only a couple of examples, three graphemes \uparrow , \neg , and \bigcirc were combined to form the graph \vec{x} which, when transcribed into the modern normalized script, has survived individually as $g\bar{e} \not {z}$ (\uparrow) 'dagger axe' and $m\hat{u} \exists$ 'eye' (\bigtriangledown), but not \neg . In the case of graphemes \uparrow ($rén \land$ 'man') and \land ($yángjiǎo \ddagger fi$ 'sheep horns'), they were combined to yield \uparrow , i.e., Qiāng \pounds (ethnonym). But why were they configured as \vec{x} and as \uparrow ? We assume that there was a kind of plan, referred to as GD (造字/構形設 計), that guided the graph creators to configure the graphemes in these specific ways. And other scribes would have understood them. If they simply followed traditions, that would still be subject to examination in terms of GD.

When the DT is not self-evident, or difficult to tell as in the case of \neg , we need to interpret what might have been the original scribe's GD. We

550 JOURNAL OF CHINESE LINGUISTICS VOL.51, NO.3 (2023)

甲、金文中的旅和車字之造字設計——基于古文字學、詞 彙學、音韻學、歷史學、和手工藝學的綜合研究

麥里筱¹ 高嶋謙一²

1法國東亞語言研究中心

2不列顛哥倫比亞大學

摘要

本文提出造字之初造字者已有所謂"造字設計"或者"古文字構形設 計"(即"graphic design",以下簡稱為"GD";文中所用英文縮寫 皆見文末"Symbols and Abbreviations of the Frequently Used Terms and 中 基本_ 旅"舆' 。 这 旅 事 Continues 在 在 在 the Authors' Names"附表),而這種"GD"基本上是與原本的詞位不 可分割的。本文將以(早期)上古漢語中"旅"與"車"兩詞為例探討 兩者及與其相關諸詞的古文字構形問題。

關鍵詞

造字/**構**形設計 甲骨文 金文