What Would Confucius Say? Everyone Is (More or Less) Chinese*

Roger T. Ames

Abstract

Where did China come from? And who is Chinese? Or put more eloquently by the contemporary philosopher Zhao Tingyang in his asking: "When the Central Plain (Zhongyuan) of China was perceived by its inhabitants as the boundless center of the world—as tianxia what were the causes, the forces, and the destiny that coalesced across four millennia to sustain the vital and generative unity of China as a state, as a civilization, and as a history?" For Zhao, to become China as a world, and to become Chinese persons of that world, is to participate in the intergenerational transmission of this shared, emergent, and unbounded whirlpool identity. Thave argued elsewhere that persons as conceived within this Confucian tradition are not ontological human "beings" grounded in a notion of strict formal and causal identity (eidos and telos). Given what we might term "zoetological" (shengshenglun) rather than ontological assumptions, the identities of such relationallyconstituted, holographic persons are not defined categorically, but instead emerge analogically. We might correlate Zhao's planetary understanding of tianxia as a Chinese "civilization-becoming" that having "no-outside" (wuwai) is holistic and inclusive, with my focusfield and holographic understanding of persons as "human becomings."

Roger T. Ames is Humanities Chair Professor in the Philosophy Department at Peking University, and Professor Emeritus at the University of Hawai'i. Correspondence should be sent to rtames@hawaii.edu.

^{*} A portion of this essay is excerpted from a monograph presently in preparation tentatively entitled "Living Chinese Philosophy: 'Zoetology' as a New Name for an Old Way of Thinking."