Do All Roads Lead to China? Chinese *Commercial Law Scholarship in the Past Decade* $(Part 2)^*$

Wei Shen and Casey G. Watters

Abstract

hted Materials China's recent development of a modern legal system coupled with unparalleled economic growth have drawn substantial attention from commercial law scholars. This review summarizes Chinese- and English-language commercial law academic literature from the past decade contributed by both Chinese and non-Chinese scholars while discussing their differences. China's use of vague laws that rely upon implementation rules resulted in a substantial proportion of articles focusing on policy discussions and comparison to foreign approaches. Subsequently, a common theme in both Chinese and English literature was transplantation of foreign law. Chinese scholars often took a nationalistic approach, primarily assessing laws on their impact on China, whereas non-Chinese scholars advocated the adoption of foreign

Wei SHEN is Dean and Professor of Law at Shandong University Law School.

Casey G. WATTERS is a Post-Doctoral Research Fellow at Singapore Management University Law School's Centre for Cross-Border Commercial Law in Asia (CEBCLA).

*The authors thank the two reviewers for their constructive comments on previous drafts of this article and acknowledge Yu Tao's research assistance in completing citations of Chinese-sourced materials.

Due to its excessive length, the article is divided into two parts. Part 1 was published in the previous issue of The China Review, Vol. 16, No. 2, Summer 2016.

laws or legal structures to create clear standards and provide certainty for investors, which in turn is believed to facilitate continued growth of the Chinese economy. The literature is grouped into subject categories, allowing for discussion of important works and representative articles from leaders in their respective fields.

(continued from Vol. 16, No. 2, June 2016, p. 183)

4. Private Land Use in Commercial Law

This first step in the analysis is to ask why private ownership matters. The proffered answer is essentially an economic model under which private property will presumably be used for economic benefit, while public land will be controlled by disinterested bureaucrats. Here, disinterest assumes a lack of corruption on the part of officials. Property ownership is a complex area of law encompassing a wide range of issues and types of property.¹ While some argue that China falls short of protecting property rights, Donald Clarke claims that private ownership has been virtually restored to China's ownership structure.² Of primary concern in recent years have been land use rights — an area where legal theory and application diverge greatly in China.³

In a country transitioning from a planned economy to a highly regulated market economy, the implications of private equity ownership are an important tangential issue when examining property law. Even in the absence of a planned economy, the market dependence on state-owned enterprises (SOEs) allows the state significant control over the market and places pressure on regulators to protect the interests of such enterprises. However, one recent article argues that ownership interest does not paint an accurate picture of the government's power to control enterprises and Chinese government has more control over private enterprise and less over SOEs than commonly assumed.⁴ The political logic of corporate governance in the context of state capitalism is a dynamic legitimacy management scheme,⁵ which explains and justifies a dominant political control over SOEs.

In reality, the boundary between privately held businesses and SOEs is not always clear in China with mixed ownership common.⁶ However, even when ownership is entirely separate, the majority shareholders of privately held corporations in China also tend to have close government relationships that likely result in the same benefits SOEs are believed to receive by virtue of their state status.⁷ In essence, the state's control over enterprises, both private and state owned, is through regulatory