Do Severe Penalties Deter Corruption? A Game-Theoretic Analysis of the Chinese Case*

Jiangnan Zhu

Abstract

Despite severe punishments such as the death penalty, corruption is not effectively deterred in China. This research discusses the ineffective deterrence of corruption from a game-theoretic perspective. I first form a corruption-investigation game based on Tsebelis's model on crimes and sanctions. Next I extend the basic model by linking the two players' payoffs. Finally, I construct a static game of incomplete information by considering different types of investigator. With the unique advantage of game theory, this research finds that to deter corruption, (1) simply increasing the penalty can counterintuitively decrease the frequency of investigation; (2) it is important to promote incentives for the investigator, such as rewarding anticorruption monetarily or politically; and (3) it is also important to maintain a sufficient proportion of officials who have incentives to fight corruption.

Jiangnan ZHU is an assistant professor in the Department of Politics and Public Administration at the University of Hong Kong. She previously worked in the Department of Political Science at the University of Nevada, Reno.

^{*}The author thanks the late Professor Michael Wallerstein for his kind support of this research. The author is also grateful to Dr. Hua Zhang, Jann Stinnesbeck, and Brandon T. Condren for their valuable help with this article, as well as the two anonymous reviewers for useful comments for revision.